

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : <u>10/675470</u>	Examiner : <u>Nickol</u>	GAU : <u>1642</u>
From : <u>he</u>	Location : <u>(IDC) FMF FDC</u>	Date : <u>7/12/05</u>
Tracking # : <u>06079341</u>		Week Date : <u>2/21/05</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

**[RUSH] MESSAGE:** Paper copy of sequence listing does not match electronic copy received. Please supply new paper copy to match CRF dated 2/11/05.

Thank you.

**[XRUSH] RESPONSE:** In Madras, RUSH message has been annotated: "Disregard for now... (RCE filed and the CRF appears to be corrected on 4-29-05)"

Does the new CRF resolve the problem? If not, please re-query.

**INITIALS:** def

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04